Discuss the following problems with the people at your table.

- 1. Let P(x) be the predicate " $x = x^3$."
 - (a) Write P(1) and P(-2).
 - (b) If the domain of x is the positive integers, what is the truth set of P(x)?
 - (c) If the domain of x is all integers, what is the truth set of P(x)?

• How many solutions does the equation have?

- 2. Let P(x) be the predicate "x can speak Spanish" and let Q(x) be the predicate "x can code in C++." Express each of the following statements in terms of P(x), Q(x), quantifiers, and logical connectives. The domain of x is all students at St. Olaf College.
 - (a) There is a St. Olaf student who can speak Spanish and code in C++.
 - (b) There is a St. Olaf student who can speak Spanish but cannot code in C++.
 - (c) Every student at St. Olaf can either speak Spanish or code in C++.
 - (d) No student at St. Olaf can speak Spanish and code in C++.

3.	Determine t	the truth	value of	each	statement	if the	domain	of x i	is all	real	numbers.

(a) $\exists x \text{ such that } x^2 = 2.$

(b) $\forall x, x^2 > 0$.

(c) $\exists x \text{ such that } x^2 - x < -1.$

(d) $\forall x, (-x)^2 = x^2$.

- 4. For each of the following statements, translate from the given informal language to formal language using quantifiers, predicate symbols, and variables.
 - (a) No matter what number we start with, there is an integer that is greater than that number.

(b) There is an integer such that every number less than this integer is negative.

5.	Let $P(x)$ be the predicate "x is a positive number," and let $Q(x)$ be the predicate "	there	is a
	positive number that is less than x ."		

(a) Is it true that $Q(x) \Rightarrow P(x)$? Why or why not?

(b) Is it true that $Q(x) \Leftrightarrow P(x)$? Why or why not?

6. For each predicate P(x), come up with a predicate Q(x) such that $P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)$. Also specify the domain of x. Is it also true that $P(x) \Leftrightarrow Q(x)$?

(a) P(x) is "x is a quadrilateral."

(b) P(x) is "x has two prime factors."

(c) P(x) is "x satisfies $x^2 = 5$."

7. Consider the following argument.

All lions are fierce.

Some lions do not drink coffee.

... Some fierce creatures do not drink coffee.

Let P(x), Q(x), and R(x) be the predicates "x is a lion," "x is fierce," and "x drinks coffee," respectively. Express each statement of the argument in terms of P(x), Q(x), and R(x). Assume the domain of x is all creatures.

Does the conclusion of the argument follow from the premises?

This argument is from Lewis Carroll, who wrote Alice in Wonderland and also several works on symbolic logic.

$8.\ \,$ Consider the following argument.

No ducks are willing to waltz.

No officers ever decline to waltz.

All my poultry are ducks.

: My poultry are not officers.

This argument is also from Lewis Carroll!

Let P(x), Q(x), R(x), and S(x) be the predicates "x is a duck," "x is one of my poultry," "x is an officer," and "x is willing to waltz" respectively. Express each statement of the argument in terms of P(x), Q(x), R(x), and S(x).

Does the conclusion of the argument follow from the premises?