

Detecting Large Primes

MATH 242 Modern Computational Math

Sieve methods such as Eratosthenes or Sundaram are efficient for generating lists of primes up to a hundred million or so. However, what if we need to find primes that are far larger? For example, certain encryption methods depend on the availability of prime numbers with *hundreds* of digits. Neither trial division nor sieve methods are useful at this scale, so how can we find such primes? Today we will examine one method of finding large primes.

Fermat's Little Theorem

Fermat's little theorem says that if p is prime and a is not divisible by p , then $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Verify Fermat's little theorem for some primes p . What happens if p is not prime?

```
In [2]: p = 7
         [ a^(p-1) % p for a in range(1,p) ]
```

```
Out[2]: [1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1]
```

```
In [5]: p = 89
print( [ a^(p-1) % p for a in range(1,p) ] )
```

[illegible]

```
In [6]: p = 50
print( [ a^(p-1) % p for a in range(1,p) ] )
```

```
Out[6]: [1, 12, 33, 44, 25, 46, 7, 28, 39, 0, 41, 2, 23, 34, 25, 36,
         47, 18, 29, 0, 31, 42, 13, 24, 25, 26, 37, 8, 19, 0, 21, 32,
         3, 14, 25, 16, 27, 48, 9, 0, 11, 22, 43, 4, 25, 6, 17, 38, 49]
```

```
In [7]: p = 92
print( [ a^(p-1) % p for a in range(1,p) ] )
```

```
Out[7]: [1, 8, 27, 64, 33, 32, 67, 52, 85, 80, 43, 72, 81, 76, 63, 48,
37, 36, 51, 88, 61, 68, 23, 24, 77, 4, 87, 56, 9, 44, 75, 16,
57, 20, 3, 12, 53, 40, 71, 60, 13, 28, 19, 84, 45, 0, 47, 8,
73, 64, 79, 32, 21, 52, 39, 80, 89, 72, 35, 76, 17, 48, 83,
36, 5, 88, 15, 68, 69, 24, 31, 4, 41, 56, 55, 44, 29, 16, 11,
20, 49, 12, 7, 40, 25, 60, 59, 28, 65, 84, 91]
```

How can we use Fermat's little theorem as a primality test?

```
In [8]: # fermat's little theorem primality test
# uses base a to check whether n might be prime
def fltpt(n, a):
    return a^(n-1) % n == 1
```

```
In [9]: fltpt(91, 6)
```

```
Out[9]: False
```

```
In [10]: fltpt(97, 6)
```

```
Out[10]: True
```

```
In [11]: fltpt(22584258523, 235236)
```

```
Out[11]: -----
FloatingPointError                                Traceback (most
recent call last)
Cell In[11], line 1
----> 1 fltpt(Integer(22584258523), Integer(235236))
Cell In[8], line 4, in fltpt(n, a)
      3 def fltpt(n, a):
----> 4     return a**(n-Integer(1)) % n == Integer(1)
File /ext/sage/10.7/src/sage/rings/integer.pyx:2210, in
sage.rings.integer.Integer.__pow__()
    2208
    2209         if type(left) is type(right):
-> 2210             return (<Integer>left).__pow__(right)
    2211     elif isinstance(left, Element):
    2212         return coercion_model.bin_op(left, right,
operator.pow)
```

```

File /ext/sage/10.7/src/sage/rings/integer.pyx:2274, in
sage.rings.integer.Integer._pow_()
    2272
    2273         if mpz_fits_slong_p(exp):
-> 2274             return self._pow_long(mpz_get_si(exp))
    2275
    2276         # Raising to an exponent which doesn't fit in
a long overflows
File /ext/sage/10.7/src/sage/rings/integer.pyx:2306, in
sage.rings.integer.Integer._pow_long()
    2304 if n > 0:
    2305     x = PY_NEW(Integer)
-> 2306     sig_on()
    2307     mpz_pow_ui(x.value, self.value, n)
    2308     sig_off()
FloatingPointError: Floating point exception

```

Modular exponentiation

In order to find large primes, we need to be able to compute $b^e \pmod{m}$ for large integers b , e , and m . For example, these integers might have *hundreds* of digits.

Observe that computing $b^e \pmod{m}$ is impractical if b , e , and m have even nine digits.

```

In [0]: a = randrange(10^8, 10^9)
        print(a)
        b = randrange(10^8, 10^9)
        print(b)
        m = randrange(10^8, 10^9)
        print(m)

```

```

In [0]: a^b % m

```

The following algorithm uses repeated squaring to efficiently compute $b^e \pmod{m}$ for huge integers.

```

In [3]: # returns b^e (mod m)
        def modpow(b, e, m):
            # initialize result
            result = 1

            # main loop
            while e > 0:

```

```

        # if x is odd, then multiply result by b and reduce
mod m
        if e % 2 == 1:
            result = result*b % m

        # square b and reduce mod m
        b = b*b % m

        # divide x by 2 and ignore the remainder
        e = e // 2

    # done
    return result

```

```
In [13]: modpow(3, 32, 7)
```

```
Out[13]: 2
```

```
In [14]: modpow(2348237454180122341, 324853423432, 2234811)
```

```
Out[14]: 1939762
```

```
In [16]: # syntax: randrange(start, stop)
         randrange(10, 20)
```

```
Out[16]: 19
```

```
In [21]: n(1/ln(10^50))
```

```
Out[21]: 0.00868588963806504
```

```
In [19]: n
```

```
Out[19]: <function numerical_approx at 0x7feae83bc900>
```

Probabilistic Primality Testing

We can use Fermat's little theorem and our **modpow** function to determine, with high probability of being correct, whether large integers are prime. Write your algorithm here:

```
In [1]: def fermatPrime(n, numTrials):
        # repeat numTrials times
        for i in range (numTrials):
```

```

# choose random integer a between 2 and n-1
a = randrange(2, n-1)

# compute b = a^(n-1) (mod n)
b = modpow(a, n-1, n)

# if b is not 1, then return False
if b != 1:
    return False

# if loop finishes, then return True
return True

```

Test your algorithm. Here are some known primes:

- 104393
- 3267000013
- 54673257461630679457

In [5]: `fermatPrime(104393, 100)`

Out[5]: True

In [6]: `fermatPrime(3267000013, 100)`

Out[6]: True

In [7]: `fermatPrime(54673257461630679457, 100)`

Out[7]: True

In [8]: `fermatPrime(104303*3267000013, 100)`

Out[8]: False

Now find your own 50-digit prime

In [0]:

In [0]:

In [0]:

Probabilistic primality testing might fail

If there is a composite integer n such that $a^n \equiv a \pmod{n}$ for all positive integers a less than n , then our primality test based on Fermat's little theorem might mistakenly identify n as a prime. Unfortunately, there are such composite integers. Can you find one?

In [0]:

In [0]:

In [0]: